

A STUDY ON MILK QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

YOHANNES, G.^{1*} – KINDAHAFTI, G.¹

¹ *College of Veterinary Science, Mekelle University, Mekele, Ethiopia.*

**Corresponding author
e-mail: gebyo2005[at]gmail.com*

(Received 05th February 2024; revised 28th April 2024; accepted 06th May 2024)

Abstract. Milk is sterile when secreted into the alveoli of the udder. Microbial contamination occurs mainly during and after milking. Different microorganisms have different origins; microorganisms in bulk tank milk originate from the interior of teats, the farm environment and surfaces of the milking equipment. Microorganisms are mainly transferred from the farm environment to milk via feces, bedding and soil attached to the exterior of teats; in addition, microorganisms attached to the exterior of the teats can enter the teat canal and cause mastitis. Aerial contamination is insignificant under normal production conditions. The concentration of microorganisms in bulk tank milk/farm storage can further increase due to their growth. The microbial population in farm storage consists of a variety of bacterial species. Most species have a specific origin. For example, the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in farm storage will, generally, be traced back to cows suffering from mastitis, and silage is the most likely origin of spores of butyric acid bacteria in bulk tank milk. Human microbial pathogens that can be found in raw milk include *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* spp. and *Campylobacter*. In addition to their significance for public health, a very good microbial quality of raw milk is also important to prevent production losses and to achieve an optimal shelf life of dairy products. In addition, hygienic milk production by dairy farmers is important with respect to animal welfare and the image of the dairy sector. Pathogenic microorganisms can infect cows (e.g. gastrointestinal tract, udder tissue), and result in reduced milk yields and even the death of animals. Thus, in summary control of all the above problems at the dairy farm resulting in on-farm hygienic milk production is important for all elements of the dairy production chain.

Keywords: *milk, milk quality, dairy management, contamination*

Introduction

The public health experts have defined milk as to be “the lacteal secretion of the mammary glands of a mammal, practically free from cholesterol, obtained by the complete milking of one or more healthy cows which contains not less than 8.25% milk solids-not-fat, and less than 3.25% milk fat” (Woldecherkos and Yitayal, 2003). It is used to nourish the young from birth to weaning, and it is the most complete food product of animal origin providing more essential nutrients in significant amounts than any other single food (Tamirat, 2018). The use of milk and milk products as human food has got a very long history. The milk as it is meant to be the first and sole food for offspring of mammals-is an almost complete food (Pandey and Voskuil, 2011). Milk is highly nutritious and an important part of diets across the globe, but is also a perfect medium for the growth of several pathogens of public health significance. Quality and safety is also a valid indicator of overall postharvest losses (post-milking waste). Good hygienic conditions are required to produce safe milk products of acceptable quality for the consumer (O’Connor, 1995). Quality and safety is also a valid indicator of overall postharvest losses (post-milking waste). Good product quality facilitates marketing and is a necessity to intensify production and to attain food security.

Unsafe food products with poor nutritional values may create disease and malnutrition. Therefore, milk safety needs to be considered as it is one of the challenges to intensification (process) as a main global public health and livelihood issue. Raw

milk is also associated with pathogenic bacteria, which cause milk-borne diseases such as tuberculosis or typhoid fever, among others. Hygienic milk production, proper handling and storage of milk, and appropriate heat treatment can reduce or eliminate pathogens in milk. Milk is the most perishable of all farm produce. Unlike other animal products, such as meat, milk is frequently harvested in very unhygienic conditions, where all too frequently, the current practices of cleaning and sterilizing the containers used for its collection and transportation leave much to be desired. Not only is bacterial contamination in buckets and milk cans a major problem, but, because the tropical environment encourages rapid growth of these bacteria, the prolonged time delays in cooling the milk to 4°C, reduce its quality even further (Mosu et al., 2013). Therefore, the objective of this review is to give highlight on improving milk quality.

Discussion

Source of contamination of milk

It is generally accepted that milk drawn from healthy cows under hygienic milking conditions contains relatively few organisms. However, during milking, it may be subjected to many sources of microbial contamination such as the atmosphere (e.g. dust), dirty udders, unclean equipment and pipelines.

Environment

As mentioned elsewhere, the most common microbial sources in the farm environment are feeds, feces, bedding material and soil. Microorganisms from these sources are transferred to milk in a number of steps. The consecutive steps from source to milk are referred to as the contamination pathway. A crucial step in the contamination pathway is the transmission of dirt, composed of, for example, feces, bedding and/or soil, to milk. Microorganisms from transmitted dirt dilute in the milk and pass the filter of the milking system. Dirt is mainly transmitted to milk when it is attached to the exterior of teats and rinses off during the milking operations (Murphy and Boor, 2000; Stadhouders and Jørgensen, 1990). Additional dirt and microorganisms can be transmitted from the farm environment to bulk tank milk when the teat cups (that fall on the ground or are kicked off the teats) get contaminated or even suck up dirt from the milking parlour floor (Stadhouders and Jørgensen, 1990). The mass of transmitted dirt per unit of volume can be calculated using a marker method (Stadhouders and Jørgensen, 1990).

The strains and concentrations of microorganisms transmitted from the farm environment to milk via the exterior of teats depends on the composition of the attached dirt and microbial concentration in the dirt. When cows are at pasture, the teats are predominantly contaminated with soil, whereas teats of cows housed in the barn are mainly contaminated with feces and bedding material. The contamination of teats with soil during the grazing period is considered to be the main cause of elevated concentrations of spores of *B. cereus* in bulk tank milk. Spore concentrations in feces are between 2 and 10 times as high as the concentration in the ration of the cows. This increase is explained by digestion of feed components while spores pass the gastrointestinal tract unaffected. Different materials are used for bedding in barns, for example, straw, sawdust, wood shavings and shredded paper. Fresh bedding contains a large variety of microorganisms. Microbial concentrations in fresh bedding are usually

much lower than concentrations in used bedding (Te Giffel et al., 1997). Especially, during the first day when the bedding is laid down, the concentrations in bedding material seem to increase significantly due to contamination with feces and microbial growth. However, high coliforms counts (7-9 log₁₀ cfu g⁻¹) have also been measured in unused bedding material.

Animal feed

Feeds introduce a large variety of microorganisms to the farm environment, and subsequently to milk. The impact of feed as a hazard of microbial contaminants of raw milk is twofold: firstly, feed can be a source or transmission vehicle of pathogens causing infection in cattle, and secondly, feed is an important source of bacterial spores in raw milk. Basically, the diet of high-yielding dairy cows consists of two categories of feedstuffs, roughages and concentrate. The former feed provides the animal with dietary fiber, which is essential for the normal functioning of the cow's rumen. The most important roughage crops are grass, maize and lucerne. Ensiling and haymaking are the two most common methods to conserve the nutritional value after harvesting. A special situation exists for grass, for example during the growing season, it is usually fed fresh, and outside the growing season, it usually fed as silage or hay. To meet the high nutritional requirements of high-yielding dairy cows, roughage-based diets are supplemented with concentrate feeds, which are high in energy and/or protein. Some examples include cereal grains, bran of cereals and pulses and by-products of the processing of soybeans, rapeseed and other oilseeds. These feeds have low moisture content and may be fed as individual ingredients or blended into particular formulations by compound feed manufacturers. In addition, concentrate feeds with high moisture content are also utilised (e.g. sugar beet pulp, brewers' grains and other co-products of crop-processing industries). These products are usually supplied directly by the processor to the farmer and, subsequently, conserved as silage (European Commission, 2004).

Animal pathogens associated with feed include *L. monocytogenes*, *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella enterica*. Outbreaks of listeriosis in cattle herds have been associated with the feeding of poorly conserved silages contaminated with *L. monocytogenes*. Furthermore, there is evidence supporting a role of silage in the contamination of raw milk with *L. monocytogenes*. In addition, recent studies suggest that cattle feed can be a vehicle for transmission of *E. coli* O157:H7 and *S. enterica* (Albert et al., 2005).

Cow diet and milk quality

Cow nutrition can have important effects on milk composition. Proteins are relatively unaffected provided the cow has an adequate level of nutrition; however, the milk fat is very considerably affected by diet composition (Murphy and Boor, 2000).

Differences between milks from pasture-fed and concentrate-fed cows

There are two quite distinct ways of managing dairy cows, which dictate the way they are fed and consequently affect the characteristics of the milk and dairy products. Pastoral farming, where the cows spend their time outdoors grazing pasture, is practiced almost exclusively in New Zealand, most of Australia, and for a large part of the year in Ireland. In contrast, most of the dairy cows in North America and a large part of Europe are housed indoors for most of the time and are fed on concentrates and rations largely

based on grains. These differences in feeding affect milk yield and the composition and other qualities of the milk. In a parallel trial, small herds of Friesian and Holstein cows were fed either on pasture or on total mixed rations (TMR). The pasture-fed cows produced milk with higher concentrations of milk fat, whereas the cows fed on Total mixed ration produced greater volumes of milk and higher concentrations of lactose. Some data shows Milks from pasture-fed and ration-fed cows also show significant differences in fatty acid composition, though these differences are confounded with seasonal effects on milk fat from pasture-fed cows.

Animal health management

Animal health management is extremely important for hygienic milk production. Mastitis infections lead to contamination of milk via the interior of teats, and gastrointestinal infections will increase the contamination via the exterior of teats. Furthermore, regulations of the European Union require that raw milk comes from animals that do not show any symptoms of infectious diseases that are communicable to humans via milk, and are in a good state of health and do not have udder wounds likely to affect milk; separation of milk of animals treated with authorized treatment products is also required (European Commission, 2004). Basically, animal health management is aimed at achieving and sustaining a disease-free herd. This can be achieved when infected animals are cured or removed (e.g. culling) from the herd, and new infections are prevented. A closed herd, i.e. no import of animals from other farms, is an important measure to sustain a disease-free herd. Treatment and separation of infected animals from the rest of the herd prevents transmission of pathogens from cow to cow.

Effect of udder health

Generally, micrococci and streptococci are the main bacteria within the udder and on the teat skin. Udder health is particularly important in maintaining milk composition. The effects of mastitis on milk composition have been reviewed recently. In mastitis, high numbers of environmental bacteria such as *Str. uberis*, *E. coli*, coliforms and *Pseudomonas* spp. may contaminate teats especially if udders are wet and exposed to mud and manure. Counts of streptococci, staphylococci or coliforms in individual milks can be very high (up to 107 cfu mL⁻¹) and similar to the total plate count. The bulk milk count from these sources may be up to 105 cfu mL⁻¹ under certain circumstances. Therefore, ineffective cleaning of teats before milking can contribute to high populations of fermentative bacteria in raw milk (Bramley and McKinnon, 1990). Lactic acid bacteria are normal inhabitants of the skin and streak canal of the cow's teat. Consequently, all raw milk contains at least low numbers of these organisms (Bramley and McKinnon, 1990).

A strong correlation between decreasing somatic cell count and increasing casein content in milk received at the factory has been observed. Mastitis has three important adverse effects on milk production, even at sub-clinical levels. First, bacterial toxins and the inflammatory response cause damage to mammary epithelial cells, leading to a reduction in mammary-synthesized components. Second, the inflammation of the mammary gland leads to leakiness of the tight junctions, leading to higher leakage of serum proteins, particularly serum albumin, immunoglobulin and, importantly, plasminogen, which can be activated to the proteolytic enzyme plasmin. Third, the bacteria causing the infection produce extracellular proteases and lipases that break

down milk proteins and fats, particularly casein, which is more susceptible to enzyme action than globular proteins, because of its extended structure. Plasminogen can also be activated to plasmin by bacterial enzymes, causing further protein hydrolysis. The net result of all this is to produce milk with a lower casein number and poorer cheese making properties. Lipolysis can also lead to flavor defects from release of short chain fatty acids. Milk fat is affected by increased lipolysis in some mastitis milks, leading to increased levels of free fatty acids which can have adverse flavor effects. Whether this is due to bacterial lipases or animal origin lipases may vary depending on the species of bacterial infection. It is also likely that many mastitis infections lead to fragility of the milk fat globule membrane, making the fat more susceptible to release and hydrolysis by endogenous lipases.

Exterior of the udder

When cows are housed, bedding material and feedstuffs can be contamination sources. Plant material such as grass, hay, barley and oats used for animal feed may contain from 5×10^5 to 2×10^8 cfu of psychrotrophs g⁻¹. Lactic acid bacteria are also associated with silage and other animal feeds (Bramley and McKinnon, 1990). Contamination of bedding material can be very high due to absorption of urine and feces. For mastitis-causing bacteria, bedding materials can be a vehicle of contamination. Teats of straw-bedded cows contain higher levels of streptococci than those of cows bedded on sawdust and shavings. The groups of microorganisms on teats which enter milk during milking are mainly aerobic spore-formers and micrococci. The aerobic thermophilic organisms on teat surfaces are almost entirely *Bacillus* spores, with spore counts ranging from 10^2 to 10^5 per teat depending on environmental conditions. Soil and feces on teat surfaces are the major contamination sources, although other sources such as water and silage can play a role in increasing the spore content of raw milk. Bramley and McKinnon (1990) stated that wood shavings, straw and sand bedding can harbor *Bacillus* spores between 1.5×10^5 and 5.4×10^6 cfu g⁻¹ (Mosu et al., 2013).

Weather-related factors, particularly those affecting the moisture of the soil, are important for contamination of milk with spores of *B. cereus* during the grazing period. Although the total spore count of milk in summer is markedly lower than in winter, the psychrotrophic spore count remains the same because the proportion of psychrotrophs within the total spore population increases. The psychrotrophic spore counting summer is mainly derived from soil contaminating the teat surface. As a result, the udder becomes contaminated, resulting in the transfer of these organisms to the raw milk.

Improving milk quality on processing unit

Milk and dairy products are major components of the human diet in Western countries, providing about 30% of dietary proteins and lipids and about 80% of dietary calcium. Current annual production of milk is 600×10^6 tons, of which 85%, 11%, 2% and 2% are bovine, buffalo, caprine and ovine, respectively. Although some raw milk is still consumed, the vast majority of milk is processed to at least some extent. Liquid (beverage) milk is a major food item in all developed dairying countries, representing 40% of total milk production. The remainder is processed into one of several thousand products. The dairy industry is probably the most diverse and flexible sector of the food industry. Most of reported cases of dairy-related illness are of bacterial origin, mainly due to consumption of unpasteurized milk (Maldonado et al.,

2014). Good product quality facilitates marketing and is a necessity to intensify production and to attain food security.

Other contaminants

Industrially derived contaminants

Lactating ruminants tend to consume extensively grown forage and be relatively long-lived, with resultant potential for bioaccumulation of any environmental contaminants present. Examples include dioxins, furans, polychlorinated biphenols (PCBs), elemental 'heavy' metals and radionuclides. In general terms, the risk to public health arises through chronic exposure and build-up of contaminants partitioning into tissues harvested for food. In contrast, and somewhat non-intuitively, acute toxicity with overt clinical disease in the animal represents a lower risk to public health due to likelihood of detection and exclusion of those animals from food production. Dioxins are a group of chemical compounds (congeners) inadvertently produced by many anthropogenic industrial activities, including metallurgical works, incineration and paper mulch bleaching. PCBs are a group of molecules purposefully synthesized for incorporation into, for example, industrial coolants, or plastic compounds. Dioxins and PCBs demonstrate remarkable resilience to environmental degradation, along with potential for lipophilic bioaccumulation in animal tissues. Consumption of food of animal origin represents the principal route of human exposure to dioxins and PCBs.

Consumption of cow's milk has been implicated as a significant source of human exposure to lipophilic contaminants, such as dioxins. The risk of such contaminants bioaccumulating in lactating animals leading to high levels in milk is directly related to the potential for exposure from emitters in the geographic area of feed production. Contamination of feed after it has been harvested has also been described, e.g. contaminated storage containers or inadvertent contaminant production in the manufacture of feed supplements. Contamination of animal feed with heavy metals has been linked to local industrial activity, such as mining, as well as farming practices, such as the application of sewage sludge to agricultural land. Radionuclide emissions present similar potential for bioaccumulation in foods derived from grazing animals, following fallout over a feed-producing area. Potential emitting industries should engage in environmental impact assessment prior to beginning production. Ongoing emission monitoring should be supplemented with surveillance of contaminant concentrations in tissues of animal sentinels, to verify total environmental load, and assess the attendant risk to public health in high-risk areas (Jansen, 2003).

Biologically derived contaminants

Some potential chemical contaminants of milk arise from biological processes in the feed of the lactating animals, with subsequent potential for secretion into milk. Mycotoxin is a term used to refer to a group of secondary metabolites of fungi with toxic effects in animals or man. Fungi growing on plants may produce such toxins as the plant is growing, or after harvest of the plants during storage prior to utilization as animal feed. Mycotoxin ingestion can result in animal disease with overt clinical syndromes, as is the case with aflatoxicoses of turkeys (turkey X disease) or may be associated with a chronic insidious loss of productivity in food animals. Various factors, particularly mycotoxin load and animal age, and synergism amongst mycotoxins, may permit apparently health animals to shed mycotoxins in their milk.

Aflatoxins produced by *Aspergillus* species of fungi are well studied, with biological transformation prior to shedding of a specific milk derivative, Aflatoxin M1, with carcinogenic and hepatotoxic potential. Several other mycotoxins, particularly Ochratoxin, are effectively detoxified in the ruminant fore stomach and, hence, are low risk in milk derived from ruminant animals. The risk of mycotoxin accumulation is higher when animals are consuming feed which has been stored following harvesting. Monitoring of mycotoxins in stored feed represents an important risk management strategy. Approaches to keeping fungal growth and toxin elaboration low include maintaining low aw of feed, use of fungal inhibitors, such as organic acid, and cultivation of resistant plant varieties. Potential carcinogenicity of Aflatoxin M1 has resulted in zero-tolerance in many regulatory frameworks (Sraïri et al., 2009).

Phytotoxins are naturally expressed substances in plants. Toxicity in grazing animals is well described in cases, such as pyrrolizidine in ragwort, ptaquiloside in bracken, or glucosinolate in brassicas. Potential for these toxins to arise in milk of lactating animals consuming these plants represents a poorly understood risk. One specific example is a hepatotoxicity syndrome of 'milk sickness' described in people in southern and mid-western USA, which is associated with milk borne tremetol and tremetone toxins due to the consumption of plants, such as white snakeroot or rayless goldenrod. The highest risk of phytotoxins of public health significance arises when animals are relatively resistant, as in the case of sheep and pyrrolizidine. Similarly, point-source milk supply and subsistence agriculture with one animal supplying all milk, for example to one family, presents opportunity for potentially high exposure for a small number of individuals. The grazing management and dilution effects involved in modern intensive dairying minimize phytotoxic milk borne risks (De Wet, 1998).

Conclusion

Milk is the main output of a dairy farm and it is source of income and nutrition for the farm owner. Thus, dairy farmer must ensure that milk produced in clean and hygienic way to improve the benefits from the farm. Otherwise, wider ranges of issues are arising such as from the public health point of view, milk is a very good media for bacterial and other microorganisms development so that can easily be predisposed by infected milk during production, handling, transporting and marketing. Above the conclusions the recommendations are forwarded: (1) quality of dairy product is affected by many factors such as preservation, transportation mechanism, type and quality of milking utensils and poor personal sanitation. So improvement mechanism on such attribute should be made; (2) extension service for producers should be given on the improvement of milk quality and handling practice; as well as (3) handling of dairy product could be improved by replacing traditional equipment's with improved one by giving training for those peoples participating in milk handling practice.

Acknowledgement

This research is self-funded.

Conflict of interest

The authors confirm that there is no conflict of interest involve with any parties in this research study.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albert, I., Pouillot, R., Denis, J.B. (2005): Stochastically modeling *Listeria monocytogenes* growth in farm tank milk. – *Risk Analysis: An International Journal* 25(5): 1171-1185.
- [2] Bramley, A.J., McKinnon, C.H. (1990): The microbiology of raw milk. – In *Dairy Microbiology*, Elsevier Applied Sciences, London 45p.
- [3] De Wet, H. (1998): Payment systems for ex-farm milk. – *Bulletin-International Dairy Federation* 25p.
- [4] European Commission (2004): Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin. – *European Union Law* 205p.
- [5] Jansen, K.E. (2003): The microbiological composition of milk and associated milking practices amongst small-scale farmers in the informal settlement of Monyakeng. – *Central University of Technology, Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa* 126p.
- [6] Maldonado, Y.A., Glode, M.P., Bhatia, J., Brady, M.T., Byington, C.L., Davies, H.D., Edwards, K.M., Jackson, M.A., Keyserling, H.L., Murray, D.L., Orenstein, W.A. (2014): Consumption of raw or unpasteurized milk and milk products by pregnant women and children. – *Pediatrics* 133(1): 175-179.
- [7] Mosu, S., Megersa, M., Muhie, Y., Gebremedin, D., Keskes, S. (2013): Bacteriological quality of bovine raw milk at selected dairy farms in Debre Zeit town, Ethiopia. – *Journal of Food Science and Technology Research* 1(1): 1-8.
- [8] Murphy, S.C., Boor, K.J. (2000): Sources and causes of high bacteria counts in raw milk: An abbreviated review. – *Dairy Food Environ. Sanit.* 20(8): 1-4.
- [9] O'Connor, C.B. (1995): *Rural Dairy Technology: ILRI Training Manual*. – *International Livestock Research Institute* 123p.
- [10] Pandey, G.S., Voskuil, G.C., (2011): *Manual on milk safety, quality and hygiene for dairy extension workers and dairy farmers*. – *Golden Valley Agricultural Research Trust* 52p.
- [11] Sraïri, M.T., Benhouda, H., Kuper, M., Le Gal, P.Y. (2009): Effect of cattle management practices on raw milk quality on farms operating in a two-stage dairy chain. – *Tropical Animal Health and Production* 41: 259-272.
- [12] Stadhouders, J., Jørgensen, K. (1990): Prevention of the contamination of raw milk by a hygienic milk production. – *Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation* 5p.
- [13] Tamirat, T. (2018): Microbiological quality analysis of raw and pasteurized milk samples collected from Addis Ababa and its surrounding in Ethiopia. – *Approaches in Poultry, Dairy & Veterinary Sciences* 4: 8p.
- [14] Te Giffel, M.C., Beumer, R.R., Granum, P.E., Rombouts, F.M. (1997): Isolation and characterisation of *Bacillus cereus* from pasteurised milk in household refrigerators in the Netherlands. – *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 34(3): 307-318.
- [15] Woldecherkos, A., Yitayal, M. (2003): Food hygiene part II. – In *Collaboration with the Ethiopia Public Health Training Initiative, The Carter Center, the Ethiopia Ministry of Health, and the Ethiopia Ministry of Education* 143p.